

South-East Locality Community Planning Partnership

Thursday, 24 August 2023

MS Teams

Agenda

1. Welcome and apologies
2. Note of last meeting and action tracker
3. Edinburgh Partnership Update
4. LIP (Locality Improvement Plan) Development
5. LCPP Membership
6. Empowerment and Engagement Team Update
7. AOB
8. DONM

South-East Locality Community Planning Partnership

26th May 2023

MS Teams

Members Present: Ian Brooke (Edinburgh Voluntary Organisations' Council), Councillor Pauline Flannery (City of Edinburgh Council), Paul Powrie (Edinburgh Health and Social Care Partnership), Chief Inspector Murray Tait (Police Scotland), Stuart Tooley (University of Edinburgh)

Also Present:

Julie Dickson – City of Edinburgh Council (note), Michele Mulvaney – City of Edinburgh Council, Jackie Stewart – City of Edinburgh Council

Apologies:

Councillor Lezley Marion Cameron (City of Edinburgh Council), Andrew Field (City of Edinburgh Council), Gavin Grey (Scottish Fire and Rescue Service - SFRS), Philip Ritchie (City of Edinburgh Council)



1. Welcome

2. Overview

Michele gave an overview advising that under the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 there is a legal requirement to produce Locality Improvement Plans (LIPs). Since the enactment there have been 2 iterations of the plans in each locality. The first in 2017 when priorities were identified through extensive 2 phase community engagement. The review in 2020 sought to refocus the plans on poverty and inequality (which is their purpose), streamline the priorities and actions, and only to include partnership priorities and actions. Due to the review, the plans were extended for one year into 2023. There is an opportunity with the new plans to align to the Locality Outcome Improvement Plan (LOIP) which is beneficial in terms of performance and scrutiny. The LIPs are required to be signed off by Edinburgh Partnership Board (EPB) as well as by the individual governance bodies for each partner. Michele explained that priority leads had reviewed their priorities and actions using templates, with a view to whether they were still relevant or if they should be closed down or mainstreamed. This will also provide an evidence base for the final LIP performance report.

There was some discussion around data and the need for a strong base of data in reviewing the current priorities and developing new ones. Michele advised that the Council locality profiles were updated last year but that much of the data is drawn from the census and this information won't be updated until next year. The forthcoming EP survey will give demographic data and data about poverty and inequalities, it will be disaggregated to locality level. Paul added that the Public Health Intelligence Team would contribute, and Stuart advised that there are resources within the university.

3. Review of existing priorities

Theme 1 – Engage and support young people and their families to develop the skills they need to thrive and reach their potential, targeting support at those in greatest need.

Jackie advised that a lot of the activity under this theme was set up historically and is now run by Partners.



THE EDINBURGH PARTNERSHIP

Pauline commented that there wasn't mention of art provision, she mentioned that Imagine have a remit around the UN Rights of the Child. Paul added that it has links into work and employment as well as mental health.

Michele explained that the plans do not sit in isolation and the activity within them shouldn't reiterate what's happening elsewhere, it's about additionality.

Pauline suggested using case studies and asked if there were examples of good practice. Michele added that case studies could be used to evidence need.

Theme 2 – Engage with and provide support to those who are rough sleeping, begging and street drinking in the city centre and southside, working closely with local partners, organisations and communities.

Murray explained that he thinks this priority still has a place and that it links firmly into poverty and inequality. However, it is quite city centre centric and he felt that other areas were neglected as a result. He explained that he would like more time to pull together more detail including case studies and to discuss this at the Community Improvement Partnership (CIP).

Paul agreed with Murray that the priority is city centre centric but added that The Access Point and all hostels are within the centre.

Michele suggested that there needs to be more of a balance between responsiveness to existing need but also prevention.

Pauline suggested a focus on named groups/communities e.g., refugees, students.

Theme 3 – Support people living in Dumbiedykes, including those experiencing hardship, and help co-ordinate a sustainable response that builds community resilience and promotes life chances.

Paul described Dumbiedykes as a microcosm of poverty and inequality. He explained that there is a group of approximately 40 organisations that now work together. Paul suggested that lessons learned in this area might be rolled out within other areas.

Michele acknowledged that one of the issues with the LIPs is the size of the localities and there has been some debate around whether to



THE EDINBURGH PARTNERSHIP

geographically focus priorities or focus around communities of interest. Ian reported that Old Town has an isolated community of older people and suggested that the priority be expanded. He explained that half of people who suffer health inequalities do not live in areas of deprivation. Ian asked whether Housing should be involved with the LIP. Michele commented that Housing are on the Poverty and Prevention Board and suggested they might want to provide support as the plan is developed.

Decision

- Michele to circulate presentation from Poverty and Prevention Board
- Michele to speak to housing colleagues re providing support as plan is developed.

4. Locality Profiles

As discussed under item 2.

5. Approach to development of new plan

Michele advised that a project plan has been developed and this will be circulated to members for comments. The project plan is generic across the four localities with economies of scale in some areas including Integrated Impact Assessment, Communications and Performance but it can be tailored to suit each locality. The next stage is agreeing on engagement that will inform the new plan. Stuart commented that there is relatively little time to identify what information to look at and how to gather this. He suggested that it would be beneficial to piggyback on any events within the area. Michele explained that if it couldn't be done by December then it would need to be extended as it is important to get it right. She suggested that the work might be taken forward by a sub-group.

Ian acknowledged that previously there had been a deep dive engagement exercise by People Know How and asked if there were any plans for this for the new iteration. Michele said that there were not and if this would require a business case for funding. Ian suggested that partners all help to bring people together. He added that there is a frustration around delivery and that it needs to be reversed engineered. Unless there is clear responsibility and a method for delivering change then it won't change.

Decisions

- Sub-group to be set up.
- Steer from sub-group as to what should be kept and what should be mainstreamed.
- Email to seek volunteers for sub-group and with a bit about data gathering.

6. AOB

7. DONM

Number	Date	Topic	Action	Action Owner	Expected completion date	Status	Comments
1	20/09/2022	Yvonne Kerr update	Cameron to raise transport issues in Dumbiedyk	Cllr Cameron	ASAP		
2	20/09/2022	Edinburgh College update	Nick agreed to reach out to Mike Jeffrey re Scot Govt funding	Nick			
3	20/09/2022	Priority Update 1	Yvonne agreed to tie-in together on the C Card and Sexual Health work going	Jackie			
4	26/05/2023		Michele to circulate presentation from Poverty and Prevention Board	MM			
5	26/05/2023		Michele to speak to housing colleagues re providing support as plan is developed	MM			
6	26/05/2023		Sub-group to be set up	All			
7	26/05/2023		Steer from sub-group as to what should be kept and what should be mainstreamed	Sub-Group			
8	26/05/2023		Email to seek volunteers for sub-group and with a bit about data	Julie			



South-East Locality Improvement Plan Development Meeting

4 August 2023 10:00 – 11:30

Microsoft Teams Minute

Members Present:

Inspector Scott Casey (Police Scotland), Inspector David Duthie (Police Scotland), Councillor Pauline Flannery (City of Edinburgh Council), Stuart Tooley (University of Edinburgh),

Also Present:

Michael Edwards (City of Edinburgh Council), Julie Dickson (City of Edinburgh Council), Jackie Stewart (City of Edinburgh Council)

Apologies:

Michele Mulvaney

Discussion

Michael shared 2 papers, a summary of the current LIP priorities and a draft suggestions paper for an engagement event (attached). Jackie explained that there are time constraints and it is important to get partners round the table to develop some focussed, achievable priorities. She suggested reviewing the current priorities.

Theme 1 - Engage and support young people and their families to develop the skills they need to thrive and reach their potential, targeting support at those in greatest need – It was agreed that it is important to get input from youth services including LAYC (Lothian Association of Youth Clubs), Canongate Youth and Street Work as well as any other identified youth services in SE.

Theme 2 – Engage with and provide support to those who are rough sleeping, begging and street drinking in the city centre and southside, working closely with local partners, organisations and communities.

There was some discussion around this priority and whether there should be more of a focus on anti-social behaviour, street drinking and supporting vulnerable people. There was some discussion about



THE EDINBURGH PARTNERSHIP

expanding the area but with the caveat that it must be achievable and there must be additionality.

Theme 3 – Support people living in Dumbiedykes, including those experiencing hardship, and help coordinate a sustainable response that builds community resilience and promotes life chances.

There was discussion as to whether this priority should remain geographically focussed and if so should this continue to be Dumbiedykes or should a different area be identified. The group looked at the SIMD website. Potential areas were noted as Prestonfield, Southhouse, Burdiehouse, Moredun, Hyvots and Gilmerton Dykes.

Engagement

In terms of engagement groups identified included the VSF (Voluntary Sector Forum), LOG (Locality Operational Group), CIP (Community Improvement Partnership).

It was agreed that it is important to engage with grassroots groups and to ask them what type of consultation works for them. Additionally, the group touched on language used and that this must be correct and adapted for the different audiences.

Actions

- Contact Kate Barrett to identify VSF Chair in SE – ME
- Update Neighbourhood Network contact list – ME
- Get on meeting agendas for VSF, LOG and CIP meetings – ME/JS
- Think about performance measures – All
- IIA to be carried out for each locality – All
- Update to South-East LCPP – ST